arXiv:1210.6642v2 [math.RT] 10 Dec 2012

Computing parabolically induced embeddings of semisimple complex Lie algebras in Weyl algebras

Todor Milev
Charles University Prague
and
University of Massachusetts Boston

December 11, 2012

Abstract

An arbitrary proper parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}$ of a semisimple complex Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ induces an embedding $\mathfrak{g} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{W}_n$, and more generally an embedding $\mathfrak{g} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{W}_n \otimes \mathrm{End}\, V$, where $\mathbb{W}_n$ is the Weyl algebra in n variables, n is the dimension of the nilradical of $\mathfrak{p}$, and V is an arbitrary $\mathfrak{p}$-module. We give an elementary proof of this well-known fact, report on a computer program computing the embeddings, and tabulate exceptional Lie algebra embeddings $G_2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{W}_5$, $F_4 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{W}_{15}$, $E_6 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{W}_{16}$, $E_7 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{W}_{27}$, $E_8 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{W}_{57}$ arising in this fashion.

Key words: Generalized Verma modules, Exceptional Lie algebras, realization of exceptional Lie algebra, Weyl algebra

1 Introduction

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{p}'$ be a Lie subalgebra and $\mathfrak{n}'$ be a nilpotent Lie subalgebra, such that $\mathfrak{g}$ is the direct vector space sum of $\mathfrak{n}'$ and $\mathfrak{p}'$. Let V be a representation of $\mathfrak{p}'$. It is then well-known that there exists a Lie algebra homomorphism mapping $\mathfrak{g}$ to the Lie algebra $\mathbb{W}_n \otimes \mathrm{End}\, V$, where $\mathbb{W}_n$ is the Weyl algebra of differential operators with polynomial coefficients in $n = \dim \mathfrak{n}'$ variables. In the particular case that V is a trivial representation, this map may be identified with a homomorphism from $\mathfrak{g}$ into $\mathbb{W}_n$. This is explained, for example, in [5] in the remarks before Lemma 3.3 there.

Suppose now that $\mathfrak{g}$ is a simple complex Lie algebra. Then a homomorphism of the above form must be an embedding. In the case that $\mathfrak{p}' = \mathfrak{p}$ is a parabolic subalgebra and $\mathfrak{n}' = \mathfrak{n}_-$ is the subalgebra opposite to the nilradical of $\mathfrak{p}$, in Proposition 3.7 we construct the corresponding well-known embedding $\mathfrak{g} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{W}_n \otimes \mathrm{End}\, V_\lambda(\mathfrak{l})$. The construction is classical (for example, see [5], [6] and the references therein). Our proof is computational and well-suited for computer realizations.

If we ask that the parabolic subalgebra be of maximal dimension (and therefore has nilradical of minimal dimension $n = \dim \mathfrak{n}$) and $\lambda = 0$, we get the embeddings $E_8 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{W}_{57}$, $E_7 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{W}_{27}$, $E_6 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{W}_{16}$, $F_4 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{W}_{15}$, $G_2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{W}_5$. The so obtained embeddings are described in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathbb{W}_n \otimes \mathrm{id}$ be the Lie algebra generated by the differential operators indicated in the last column of Table 1, where n is the number indicated in the second column. Then $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to the exceptional Lie algebra indicated in the first column of the table.*

In section 5 we illustrate the details of the construction for G_2. In section 4 we informally describe a computer algorithm that automates the entire construction. We report that a computer program[1] [9] written by the author can compute the embeddings $\mathfrak{g} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{W}_n \otimes \mathrm{End}\, V_\lambda(\mathfrak{l})$ where $\mathfrak{p}$ is an arbitrary parabolic subalgebra and $V_\lambda(\mathfrak{l})$ is an arbitrary irreducible finite dimensional representation of $\mathfrak{p}$ (the dimension of $V_\lambda(\mathfrak{l})$ is restricted by computational power; $\dim V_\lambda(\mathfrak{l}) \leq 1000$ is within practical limits on a modern 32 bit personal computer). The program is written in the programming language C++, within the project "vector partition function". Table 1 was generated by our C++ program.

We note that the results of Section 3 are valid over a field of arbitrary characteristic - the only division operation required by Section 3 is the division by a monic polynomial in (3). So long as the structure constants with respect to a Chevalley-Weyl basis of the Lie algebra do not vanish (which does happen in characteristic 2 and 3 for G_2 and in characteristic 2 for F_4), all algorithms described in Section 4 carry through directly. Furthermore, the Lie algebra generated over $\mathbb{Z}$ by the differential operators in Theorem 1.1 is spanned by differential operators with integral coefficients. Clearly those involve only finitely many primes, and therefore Theorem 1.1 remains valid over all fields of sufficiently large finite characteristic.

We comment why the case of parabolic subalgebra of maximal dimension and $\lambda = 0$ might be of interest. For $\mathfrak{g} \neq sp(2n)$, this case provides a candidate for a minimal embedding of $\mathfrak{g}$ in $\mathbb{W}_n$ (an embedding is minimal if it uses the minimal number of variables n). [5] proves that a minimal realization $sl(n+1) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{W}_n$ is achieved by this construction, and is not achieved for $sp(2n)$ (for $sp(2n)$ [5] proves the minimal realization is the Shale-Weil representation). If we change the problem to allow localizations $\overline{\mathbb{W}}_k$ of $\mathbb{W}_k$, [6], [5] solve the problem of minimal realizations in $\overline{\mathbb{W}}_k$. Except for $sl(n+1)$, the realizations in $\overline{\mathbb{W}}_k$ use a smaller number of variables than the construction discussed in the present paper. However, if using $\mathbb{W}_n$ rather than localizations, [6], [5] do not resolve the question of whether $so(n) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{W}_{n-2}$, $G_2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{W}_5$, $F_4 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{W}_{15}$, $E_6 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{W}_{16}$, $E_7 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{W}_{27}$, $E_8 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{W}_{57}$ are minimal realizations.

The computational technique presented here was originally intended as a tool for solving the branching problem as formulated in [10], however we postpone such applications to a future work. We hope that the exceptional Lie algebra realizations in Table 1 can be of interest beyond the original motivation of the present paper.

---

[1] the program has free and open source code and an online interface

Acknowledgment: The author gratefully acknowledges the support by the Czech Grant Agency through the grant GA CR P 201/12/G028.

2 Notation and preliminaries

$\mathfrak{g}$ denotes a semisimple Lie algebra over the complex numbers. Let $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be a Cartan subalgebra, and let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\Delta(\mathfrak{g})} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha$ be the corresponding root space decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $g_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^\alpha$ be a Chevalley-Weyl basis of $\mathfrak{g}$ corresponding to this decomposition. In a Chevalley-Weyl basis, the structure constants of $\mathfrak{g}$ are integral.

We denote by $\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{p}$ respectively a fixed Borel and a fixed parabolic subalgebra, such that $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{p}$. We denote by $\mathfrak{l}$ the reductive Levi part of $\mathfrak{p}$ that contains $\mathfrak{h}$, by $\mathfrak{n}$ the nilradical of $\mathfrak{p}$ and by $\mathfrak{n}_-$ the subalgebra generated by the root spaces opposite to the root spaces that generate $\mathfrak{n}$.

For a dominant integral weight $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ we denote by $V_\lambda(\mathfrak{l})$ the irreducible finite-dimensional representation of $\mathfrak{l}$ with highest weight λ. We equip $V_\lambda(\mathfrak{l})$ with trivial action of $\mathfrak{n}$ and denote by $M_\lambda(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{p})$ the corresponding generalized Verma module induced by the action of $\mathfrak{p}$ on $V_\lambda(\mathfrak{l})$, i.e.,

$$M_\lambda(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{p}) := U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p})} V_\lambda(\mathfrak{l}) \quad .$$

The PBW theorem implies the vector space isomorphism

$$M_\lambda(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{p}) \simeq U(\mathfrak{n}_-) \otimes V_\lambda(\mathfrak{l}) \quad . \tag{1}$$

Let $\mathbb{W}_n$ denote the Weyl algebra in n variables over $\mathbb{Q}$ where $\dim \mathfrak{n} = n$. We denote its generators by $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n$, $x_1, \dots, x_n$, and as usual we define its relations to be those of an associative algebra with identity together with

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x_i x_j &=& x_j x_i, \\ \partial_i \partial_j &=& \partial_j \partial_i, \\ \partial_j x_i - x_i \partial_j &=& [\partial_j, x_i] = \delta_{ij} := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{array} \right. \end{array}$$

Denote by $\mathbb{S}_n$ the symmetric algebra generated by $1, x_1, \dots, x_n$. Then $\mathbb{S}_n$ is a $\mathbb{W}_n$-module under the action $\cdot$ given by applying the differential operators from $\mathbb{W}_n$ on the elements of $\mathbb{S}_n$, i.e.,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \partial_i \cdot x_j &:=& \delta_{ij} \\ x_j \cdot x_i &:=& x_j x_i \quad . \end{array}$$

3 Differential operator realizations of generalized Verma modules

Lemma 3.1 *Let $a, b \in U(\mathfrak{g})$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} ab^m &= \sum_{k=0}^{m} \binom{m}{k} (-1)^k b^{m-k} (\mathrm{ad} b)^k (a) \\ b^m a &= \sum_{k=0}^{m} \binom{m}{k} (\mathrm{ad} b)^k (a) b^{m-k} \quad . \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (Dixmier) Let L_b and R_b stand respectively for left and right multiplication by b in $U(\mathfrak{g})$. As $\mathrm{ad} b = L_b - R_b$ and L_b and R_b commute, the lemma follows from the Newton binomial formula. □

Definition 3.2 *Let $b_1, \dots, b_n$ be integers. We say that a polynomial $p(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is $(b_1, \dots, b_n)$-compatible if $p(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is divisible by $a_k(a_k - 1) \dots (a_k - b_k + 1)$ whenever $b_k \geq 0$.*

The main motivation for the definition of $(b_1, \dots, b_n)$-compatible polynomials is the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3 *Let I be a finite indexing set. For each $i \in I$, let $(b_{i1}, \dots, b_{in})$ be an n-tuple of integers and $p_i(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ be a $(b_{i1}, \dots, b_{in})$-compatible polynomial (Definition 3.2). Suppose $g : \mathbb{S}_n \to \mathbb{S}_n$ is a linear operator such that*

$$g(x_1^{a_1} \dots x_n^{a_n}) = \sum_i p_i(a_1, \dots, a_n) x_1^{a_1 - b_{i1}} \dots x_n^{a_n - b_{in}} \quad ,$$

for all monomials $x_1^{a_1} \dots x_n^{a_n}$. Then there exists an unique element $\omega \in \mathbb{W}_n$ such that the action of g on $\mathbb{S}_n$ equals the $\cdot$-action of ω on $\mathbb{S}_n$.

Proof. By linearity, it suffices to prove the Lemma in the case where I has only one element, i.e.,

$$g(x_1^{a_1} \dots x_n^{a_n}) = p(a_1, \dots, a_n) x_1^{a_1 - b_1} \dots x_n^{a_n - b_n} \quad .$$

Let $P(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ denote the polynomials in the variables a_i.
Case 1. Suppose first $b_k \leq 0$ for all k. Define the linear map

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \omega_{b_1, \dots, b_n} : P(a_1, \dots, a_n) & \to & \mathbb{W}_n \\ a_1^{m_1} \dots a_n^{m_n} & \overset{\omega_{b_1, \dots, b_n}}{\mapsto} & \displaystyle\prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(x_i^{-b_i} (x_i \partial_i)^{m_i} \right) \quad . \end{array} \tag{2}$$

Direct check shows that $\omega_{b_1, \dots, b_n}(p(a_1, \dots, a_n))$ has the same action $\mathbb{S}_n$ as g.
Case 2. Suppose $b_k > 0$ for some k. By the requirements of the lemma the expression

$$p'(a_1, \dots, a_n) := \frac{p(a_1, \dots, a_n)}{\prod_{b_k > 0} a_k(a_k - 1) \dots (a_k - b_k + 1)} \tag{3}$$

is a polynomial. Define

$$\bar{b}_k = \begin{cases} b_k & \text{if } b_k \leq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad .$$

Direct check shows that the operator

$$\omega := \omega_{\bar{b}_1,\ldots,\bar{b}_k}(p'(a_1,\ldots,a_n)) \left(\prod_{b_k>0} \partial_k^{b_k} \right) \tag{4}$$

has the same action on $\mathbb{S}_n$ as g. The uniqueness of ω follows from the fact that ω is uniquely determined by its action on monomials in the x_i's. $\Box$

Given data $(\{(p_i, b_{11}, \ldots, b_{1n})\}_{i\in I})$ as in Lemma 3.3, let

$$\omega(\{(p_i, b_{11}, \ldots, b_{1n})\}_{i\in I}) \tag{5}$$

denote the operator constructed in Lemma 3.3.

We will need the following observation.

Lemma 3.4 *If $p(a_1,\ldots,a_n)$ is $(b_1,\ldots,b_n)$-compatible, then $\binom{a_j}{c_j}p(a_1,\ldots,a_j - c_j,\ldots,a_n)$ is $(b_1,\ldots,b_j+c_j,\ldots,b_n)$-compatible.*

Let $u_1,\ldots,u_n$ be a basis of $\mathfrak{n}_-$ consisting of Chevalley-Weyl generators. For each generator u_i, let β_i be the root corresponding to u_i.

Lemma 3.5 *Let $g \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $j \in \{0,\ldots,n\}$. There exists a finite indexing set $I(g)$, such that for each $i \in I(g)$ there exist integers $(b_{i1},\ldots,b_{in})$, a $(b_{i1},\ldots,b_{in})$-compatible polynomial $p_i(a_1,\ldots,a_n)$ (Definition 3.2) and an element $f_i \in U(\mathfrak{g})$, such that f_i is a Chevalley-Weyl generator lying outside of $\mathfrak{n}_-$ or equal to 1 and such that*

$$u_1^{a_1}\ldots u_j^{a_j} g u_{j+1}^{a_{j+1}} u_n^{a_n} = \sum_{i\in I(g)} p_i(a_1,\ldots,a_n) u_1^{a_1-b_{i1}} \ldots u_n^{a_n-b_{in}} f_i \quad . \tag{6}$$

Proof. It suffices to prove our claim in the case when g is a Chevalley-Weyl generator. We proceed by double induction on the weight of g and on the index j. We suppose we have proved by induction hypothesis our statement for all weights smaller than the weight of g. We suppose by second induction hypothesis that for all indices larger than j we have proved our statement for weights smaller than or equal to the weight of g. We have three cases for the induction step.

The first case is that $g = u_{j+1}$ or $g = u_j$; this case is trivial and there is nothing to prove.

The second case is that $g = u_l$ with $l < j$. We apply Lemma 3.1 with respect to $u_j^{a_j} g$ to obtain that our starting expression is a linear combination of $u_1^{a_1}\ldots u_{j-1}^{a_{j-1}} u_l u_j^{a_j} \ldots u_n^{a_n}$ and monomials of the form

$$\binom{a_j}{c_j} u_1^{a_1} \ldots u_{j-1}^{a_{j-1}} f u_j^{a_j - c_j} \ldots u_n^{a_n}$$

with the weight of f strictly smaller than the weight of u_l. By induction hypothesis these monomials can be written in the form (6). Here, the compatibility of the coefficients follows from Lemma 3.4. Continuing in this fashion, we may commute u_l past $u_{j-1}^{a_{j-1}}$, and so on, until u_l is positioned next to $u_l^{a_l}$ and so our induction step holds in the second case as well.

The final case is that g is a Chevalley-Weyl generator that is not among the generators $u_1, \dots, u_{j+1}$. We apply Lemma 3.1 with respect to $gu_{j+1}^{a_{j+1}}$ to get that our starting expression is a linear combination of monomials of the form

$$\binom{a_{j+1}}{c_{j+1}} u_1^{a_1} \dots u_{j+1}^{a_{j+1}-c_{j+1}} f u_{j+2}^{a_{j+2}} \dots u_n^{a_n} \quad ,$$

where f is a Chevalley-Weyl generator that is either equal to g or is of strictly smaller weight. In both cases the induction step follows from the induction hypothesis and Lemma 3.4. $\square$

Let $m_1, \dots, m_{\dim V_\lambda(\mathfrak{l})}$ be a basis of $V_\lambda(\mathfrak{l})$. By (1) and the Poincare-Birkhoff-Witt theorem we can write every element in $M_\lambda(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{p})$ uniquely as a linear combination of monomials the form

$$u_1^{a_1} \dots u_n^{a_n} \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p})} m_i \quad .$$

Define the linear map

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \varphi : U(\mathfrak{n}_-) & \to & \mathbb{S}_n \\ u_1^{a_1} \dots u_n^{a_n} & \overset{\varphi}{\mapsto} & x_1^{a_1} \dots x_n^{a_n} \quad . \end{array} \tag{7}$$

Define the linear map

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \varphi_\lambda : M_\lambda(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{p}) & \to & \mathbb{S}_n \otimes V_\lambda(\mathfrak{l}) \\ u_1^{a_1} \dots u_n^{a_n} \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p})} m_i & \overset{\varphi_\lambda}{\mapsto} & \varphi(u_1^{a_1} \dots u_n^{a_n}) \otimes m_i = x_1^{a_1} \dots x_n^{a_n} \otimes m_i \quad . \end{array}$$

By (1) the map φ_λ is a vector space isomorphism between $M_\lambda(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{p})$ and $\mathbb{S}_n \otimes V_\lambda(\mathfrak{l})$. We can therefore identify $\mathrm{End}\,(M_\lambda(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{p}))$ with $\mathrm{End}(\mathbb{S}_n \otimes V_\lambda(\mathfrak{l}))$ via the map φ'_λ given by

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \varphi'_\lambda : \mathrm{End}\,(M_\lambda(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{p})) & \to & \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{S}_n \otimes V_\lambda(\mathfrak{l})) \\ a & \overset{\varphi'_\lambda}{\mapsto} & \varphi_\lambda \circ a \circ \varphi_\lambda^{-1} \quad . \end{array} \tag{8}$$

We are now in a position to prove the following.

Proposition 3.6 *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a semisimple Lie algebra with parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}$.*

(a) There exists a linear map $\Phi_0 : U(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathbb{W}_n \otimes \mathrm{id}$ which makes the following diagram commutative.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{End}\, M_0(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{p}) \arrow[r, "\varphi'_0"] & \mathrm{End}\, \mathbb{S}_n \otimes \mathrm{id} & \simeq & \mathbb{S}_n \quad . \\
U(\mathfrak{g}) \arrow[u, "\cdot"] \arrow[r, "\Phi_0"] & \mathbb{W}_n \otimes \mathrm{id} \arrow[u, "\cdot"] & \simeq & \mathbb{W}_n
\end{tikzcd}$$

(b) Φ_0 is a Lie algebra homomorphism.

Proof. (a) Let $g \in \mathfrak{g}$. By Lemma 3.5, there exists data as described in the Lemma such that (6) holds. For each $g \in \mathfrak{g}$, let $I(g)$ be the set given by Lemma 3.5, and let

$$J(g) := \{i \in I | f_i = 1\}$$

i.e., the subset corresponding to the summands in (6) that end on 1. Using Lemma 3.5 we can define the element $\omega(\{(p_i, b_{i1}, \dots, b_{in})\}_{i\in J(g)})$ via (5), and therefore we can define the linear map $\Phi_0 : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{W}_n \otimes \mathrm{id}$ by

$$\Phi_0(g) = \omega(\{(p_i, b_{i1}, \dots, b_{in})\}_{i\in J(g)}) \otimes \mathrm{id} \quad .$$

Let m_1 be a non-zero vector of the one-dimensional vector space $V_0(\mathfrak{l})$. The action of $\varphi_0'(g)$ on $\mathbb{S}_n \otimes m_1$ is computed on each monomial $x_1^{a_1} \dots x_n^{a_n} \otimes m_1$ by applying the map φ_0 to $gu_1^{a_1} \dots u_n^{a_j} \cdot m_1$. We have that the Chevalley-Weyl generators lying outside of $\mathfrak{n}$ have zero action on m_1. Together with Lemma 3.5, this implies that

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\varphi_0\left(gu_1^{a_1}\dots u_n^{a_j}\cdot m_1\right) & = & \varphi_0\left(\sum_{i\in I(g)} p_i(a_1,\dots,a_n)u_1^{a_1-b_{i1}}\dots u_n^{a_n-b_{in}} f_i \cdot m_1\right)\\
& = & \varphi_0\left(\sum_{i\in J(g)} p_i(a_1,\dots,a_n)u_1^{a_1-b_{i1}}\dots u_n^{a_n-b_{in}} \cdot m_1\right)\\
& = & \sum_{i\in J(g)} p_i(a_1,\dots,a_n)x_1^{a_1-b_{i1}}\dots x_n^{a_n-b_{in}} \otimes m_1\\
& = & \Phi_0(g)\cdot(x_1^{a_1}\dots x_n^{a_n}\otimes m_1)\\
& = & \Phi_0(g)\cdot\varphi_0(u_1^{a_1}\dots u_n^{a_n}\otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p})} m_1) \quad .
\end{array}$$

The above proves (a).
(b) As $M_0(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{p})$ is a (Lie algebra) representation of $\mathfrak{g}$, the image of $\mathfrak{g}$ in $\mathrm{End}\, M_0(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{p})$ is a Lie algebra, and by (a) so is the image of $\mathfrak{g}$ in $\mathrm{End}\, \mathbb{S}_n \otimes \mathrm{id}$. □

We finish this section with an interpretation of the action of $\mathfrak{g}$ as a Lie subalgebra of $\mathbb{W}_n \otimes \mathrm{End}\, V_\lambda(\mathfrak{l})$. We recall that, given associative (and therefore Lie) algebras A_1, A_2, we have that $A_1 \otimes A_2$ is an associative (and therefore Lie) algebra with $(a_1 \otimes a_2)(b_1 \otimes b_2) = a_1b_1 \otimes a_2b_2$. Further, given two A_i-modules M_i, $i = 1, 2$, then $M_1 \otimes M_2$ can be equipped with a $A_1 \otimes A_2$-module structure via

$$(a_1 \otimes a_2) \cdot (m_1 \otimes m_2) := (a_1 \cdot m_1) \otimes (a_2 \cdot m_2) \quad . \tag{9}$$

In particular, $\mathbb{S}_n \otimes V_\lambda(\mathfrak{l})$ is a $\mathbb{W}_n \otimes \mathrm{End}\, V_\lambda(\mathfrak{l})$-module; the associative algebra $\mathbb{W}_n \otimes \mathrm{End}\, V_\lambda(\mathfrak{l})$ is sometimes called a "Weyl algebra with matrix coefficients".

Proposition 3.7 *There exists a Lie algebra homomorphism $\Phi_\lambda : U(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathbb{W}_n \otimes \mathrm{End}\, V_\lambda(\mathfrak{l})$ which makes the following diagram commutative.*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{End}\, M_\lambda(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{p}) \arrow[r, "\varphi'_\lambda"] & \mathrm{End}\,(\mathbb{S}_n \otimes V_\lambda(\mathfrak{l})) \quad , \\
U(\mathfrak{g}) \arrow[u, "\cdot"] \arrow[r, "\Phi_\lambda"] & \mathbb{W}_n \otimes \mathrm{End}\, V_\lambda(\mathfrak{l}) \arrow[u, "(9)"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

where we are using (9) with respect to the $\cdot$ action of $\mathbb{W}_n$ on $\mathbb{S}_n$.

Remark. The proposition remains valid if we replace $V_\lambda(\mathfrak{l})$ with an arbitrary $\mathfrak{p}$-module V and φ'_λ by an operator defined similarly to (8).

Proof. Let $g \in \mathfrak{g}$. Let $\{(f_i, p_i, b_{i1}, \dots, b_{in})\}_{i\in I}$ be the data whose existence is given by Lemma 3.4. We can therefore define $\Phi_\lambda : U(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathbb{W}_n \otimes \operatorname{End} V_\lambda(\mathfrak{l})$ using Lemma 3.4 so that the last equality in the following computation holds for an arbitrary vector $v \in V_\lambda(\mathfrak{l})$.

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \varphi_\lambda\left(g u_1^{a_1}\dots u_n^{a_j}\cdot v\right) & = & \varphi_\lambda\left(\sum_{i\in I(g)} p_i(a_1,\dots,a_n) u_1^{a_1-b_{i1}}\dots u_n^{a_n-b_{in}} \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p})} (f_i\cdot v)\right) \\ & = & \sum_{i\in I(g)} p_i(a_1,\dots,a_n) x_1^{a_1-b_{i1}}\dots x_n^{a_n-b_{in}} \otimes (f_i\cdot v) \\ & =: & \Phi_\varphi(g)(x_1^{a_1}\dots x_n^{a_n}\otimes v) \quad . \end{array}$$

The remainder of the proof is analogous to that of Proposition 3.6 and we omit it. □

4 An informal algorithm description

In the present section we describe an algorithm that explicitly computes the homomorphism Φ_λ from Proposition 3.7. The homomorphism is described by giving the image of each of the simple Chevalley-Weyl generators of $\mathfrak{g}$.

For a simple complex Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, the only input data needed to compute an embedding $\mathfrak{g} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{W}_n \otimes \operatorname{End} V_\lambda(\mathfrak{l})$ is the data $\mathfrak{g}, \lambda, \mathfrak{p}$. More precisely, $\mathfrak{g}$ can be described to a computer program by the type of the Lie algebra, λ by a vector given as the sequence of its fundamental coordinates and $\mathfrak{p}$ by a vector of zeroes and ones indicating which simple roots are crossed out.

The root systems of each simple Lie algebra can be generated, for example, using [1, page 162]. The structure constants of a semisimple Lie algebra relative to a Chevalley-Weyl basis (in particular, their signs), can be computed with a uniform method in both the simply and non-simply laced case according to [11, pages 47-51] as implemented in our C++ program, [9].

We suppose $V_\lambda(\mathfrak{l})$ is constructed, in particular we have a vector space basis $m_1, \dots, m_{\dim V_\lambda(\mathfrak{l})}$ of $V_\lambda(\mathfrak{l})$ and we know the action of each $g \in \mathfrak{g}$ as an element of $V_\lambda(\mathfrak{l}) \otimes V_\lambda(\mathfrak{l})^* \simeq \operatorname{End} V_\lambda(\mathfrak{l})$. An algorithm for computing the actions of g on $V_\lambda(\mathfrak{l})$ from the data $\mathfrak{g}, \lambda, \mathfrak{p}$ can be extracted from [8] and [7]; a detailed algorithm description is in preparation, [4]. The algorithm is already implemented and tested in [9].

Let g_i denote the Chevalley-Weyl generators computed using the preceding algorithms. We represent (non-uniquely) a monomial $g_{i_1}^{p_1}\dots g_{i_k}^{p_k}$ in $U(\mathfrak{g})$ as a list of generator indices and a corresponding list of exponents, i.e., as the data

$$((i_1,\dots,i_k)(p_1,\dots,p_k)) \quad i_s \in \mathbb{Z}, p_k \text{ lie in the base ring.} \qquad (10)$$

We allow the exponents to belong to any base ring defined in our program that contains[2] $\mathbb{Z}$. We represent an arbitrary element in $U(\mathfrak{g})$ (non-uniquely) as a collection of monomial data (10) and a list of coefficients lying in the base ring, i.e., as the data

---

[2] to achieve this we use C++ templates

$$((q_1, \dots, q_s), (mon_1, \dots, mon_s)) \quad mon_t \text{ of the form (10), } q_t \text{ lie in the base ring.} \tag{11}$$

In the above data, we do not allow a monomial mon_t to appear more than once, and we do not allow $q_t = 0$. To the data above, we may add a monomial $mon_{s'}$ together with a coefficient $q_{s'}$ by the following procedure. If the monomial $mon_{s'}$ is not already contained in the list of monomials, we add $mon_{s'}$ and $q_{s'}$ at the end of the corresponding lists in (11). Otherwise, we find the index t for which $mon_t = mon_{s'}$ and add $q_{s'}$ to q_t; if the resulting coefficient $q_{s'} + q_t$ is non-zero we are done, else we remove mon_t and the corresponding coefficient from the data (11).

Let $<$ be a total order on the Chevalley-Weyl generators g_i; we will fix an order $<$ later. We say that the data (10) is reduced relative to $<$ if the corresponding monomial has the property that if there is a generator $g_{i_1}^{p_1}$ that appears to the left of a generator $g_{i_2}^{p_2}$ and at the same time $g_{i_1} > g_{i_2}$, then both p_1 and p_2 are not non-negative integers. We declare the data (11) to be reduced relative to $<$ if each mon_t is reduced relative to $<$.

Let $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$. Suppose u is represented by data X of the form (11) which is not reduced relative to $<$. We introduce an iterative procedure that transforms the data X to data X' that is reduced relative to $<$ and represents the same element $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$. We treat each monomial data mon participating in (11) separately. Suppose mon is not reduced relative to $<$. We remove mon from the data (11). Then, we transform mon so no two consecutive generator indices i_j, i_{j+1} are equal by adding the corresponding exponents and removing one of the indices. If after this transformation mon is reduced relative to $<$ we add it back to the data (11). Otherwise, we find the first generator index i_j for which $g_{i_j} > g_{i_{j+1}}$, but either p_j or p_{j+1} is an element of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. We then apply Lemma 3.1, choosing the first identity if $p_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and the second identity otherwise. The right hand side of the identities in Lemma 3.1 provides us with new set monomials $mon_1, \dots, mon_k$ and a new set of coefficients; we multiply those coefficients by the initial coefficient of mon, and add back to the data (11).

We are now ready to implement Proposition 3.7. Fix a semisimple Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{g}$, a highest weight λ, and a nilradical $\mathfrak{n}_-$. We recall from Section 3 that $u_1, \dots, u_n$ are the Chevalley-Weyl generators that give a vector space basis of $\mathfrak{n}_-$. Now fix the order $<$ so that $u_1 < u_2 < \dots < u_n$ and $u_i < g_j$ for any Chevalley-Weyl generator $g_j \notin \mathfrak{n}_-$. Extend (arbitrarily) $<$ to a total order. Let $g_{\pm 1}, \dots g_{\pm k}$ be the simple Chevalley-Weyl generators of $\mathfrak{g}$. Consider the action of left multiplication by $g_{\pm i}$ on a generic monomial in $U(\mathfrak{n}_-)$, i.e., consider the element

$$g_{\pm i} u_1^{a_1} \dots u_n^{a_n} \quad . \tag{12}$$

Using the algorithm in the preceding paragraph, we may transform the data corresponding to (12) to data that is reduced relative to $<$. The so computed data represents the sum (6) from the proof of Lemma 3.5. In particular, we can read off the set of integers $(b_{i1}, \dots, b_{in})$ and $(b_{i1}, \dots, b_{in})$-compatible polynomials $p_i(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ whose existence is asserted by Lemma 3.5.

Fix now the base ring to be $\mathbb{Q}[a_1, \dots, a_n]$. We note that our final implementation allows computations over the ring $\mathbb{Q}[a_1, \dots, a_n][\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s]$, where λ_i are indeterminates that correspond to the crossed-out roots of $\mathfrak{p}$ (we recall that λ need only be integral with respect to the simple roots of $\mathfrak{l}$, in particular λ is allowed to have indeterminate entries in the coordinates corresponding to crossed-out roots). We recall that the monomials participating in (6) are of the form

$$p(a_1, \dots, a_n) u_1^{a_1-b_1} \dots u_n^{a_n-b_n} f \quad ,$$

where f is some Chevalley-Weyl generator of $\mathfrak{g}$ lying outside of $\mathfrak{n}_-$ and the polynomial p is $(b_1, \dots, b_n)$-compatible (Definition 3.2). First, we apply Lemma 3.3 to the first multiplicand $p(a_1, \dots, a_n) u_1^{a_1-b_1} \dots u_n^{a_n-b_n}$ - for (3) we use polynomial division, and for the remainder of the Lemma we use a standard implementation of $\mathbb{W}_n$. If $\lambda = 0$, we replace f by id; else we replace f by its action on $V_\lambda(\mathfrak{l})$ and take the tensor product with the differential operator computed in the preceding step. We collect all summands to complete the computation of the homomorphism Φ_λ from Proposition 3.7.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We run the algorithm described in the current section as implemented in [9] to generate Table 1. □

Table 1 was generated using the following functions of the vpf calculator [9].

```
EmbedSSalgebraInWeylAlgebra{}(G_2, (0,0), ( 1, 0));
EmbedSSalgebraInWeylAlgebra{}(G_2, (0,0), ( 0, 1));
EmbedSSalgebraInWeylAlgebra{}(F_4, (0,0,0,0), ( 0,0,0, 1));
EmbedSSalgebraInWeylAlgebra{}(F_4, (0,0,0,0), ( 1,0,0, 0));
EmbedSSalgebraInWeylAlgebra{}(E_6, (0,0,0,0,0,0), ( 1,0,0,0,0, 0));
EmbedSSalgebraInWeylAlgebra{}(E_7, (0,0,0,0,0,0,0), ( 0,0,0,0,0, 0,1));
EmbedSSalgebraInWeylAlgebra{}(E_8, (0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0), ( 0,0,0,0,0, 0,0,1));
```

We note that in our C++ implementation, we represent elements of $U(\mathfrak{g})$, polynomials, elements of $\mathbb{W}_n$, elements of $\simeq V_\lambda(\mathfrak{l}) \otimes V_\lambda(\mathfrak{l})^* \simeq \operatorname{End} V_\lambda(\mathfrak{l})$ and elements of $\mathbb{W}_n \otimes \operatorname{End} V_\lambda(\mathfrak{l})$ using a single C++ monomial collections class. This allows us to reuse all linear algebra and memory management routines (hash tables, etc.). The monomial collections that have the property that a product of two monomials is a monomial use in addition shared code for multiplication[3].

Let $\mathfrak{g}' \subset \mathbb{W}_n \otimes \operatorname{End} V_\lambda(\mathfrak{l})$ be the Lie algebra generated by the differential operators corresponding to simple generators as constructed above. As asserted by Proposition 3.7, $\mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathfrak{g}'$. In particular we can check that $\dim \mathfrak{g}' = \dim \mathfrak{g}$; we implemented this check as an additional self-test routine for code correctness.

5 Example: the embedding $G_2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{W}_5$

In the present section we illustrate the computation of $G_2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{W}_5$, as reported by our computer program. A basis of G_2 is computed in Table 2. The elements h_i

---

[3] This is again achieved using C++ templates

are dual to the simple roots of G_2, and the elements g_i together with $h_1, 1/3h_2$ form a Chevalley-Weyl basis of G_2. The generators g_i are indexed according to the index of the corresponding root space, and the root spaces are indexed according to their order in the simple coordinate graded lexicographic order.

Both proper parabolic subalgebras of G_2 are maximal. We carry out the computations for the parabolic subalgebra obtained by crossing out the short root. A basis for the nilradical $\mathfrak{n}_-$ is given by $g_{-6}, g_{-5}, g_{-4}, g_{-3}, g_{-1}$. An arbitrary monomial in $U(\mathfrak{n}_-)$ is then a multiple of

$$u := g_{-6}^{a_1} g_{-5}^{a_2} g_{-4}^{a_3} g_{-3}^{a_4} g_{-1}^{a_5} \quad .$$

Applying consecutively the identities of Lemma 3.1 according to Section 4, we can compute that

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
g_1 u & = & -3a_4 g_{-6}^{a_1} g_{-5}^{a_2} g_{-4}^{a_3} g_{-3}^{a_4-1} g_{-1}^{a_5} g_{-2} + a_5 g_{-6}^{a_1} g_{-5}^{a_2} g_{-4}^{a_3} g_{-3}^{a_4} g_{-1}^{a_5-1} h_1 \\
 & & + g_{-6}^{a_1} g_{-5}^{a_2} g_{-4}^{a_3} g_{-3}^{a_4} g_{-1}^{a_5} g_1 + (-3a_3^2 + 3a_3) g_{-6}^{a_1+1} g_{-5}^{a_2} g_{-4}^{a_3-2} g_{-3}^{a_4} g_{-1}^{a_5} \\
 & & + (9a_4^2 a_5^2 - 9a_4 a_5^2 - 9a_4^2 a_5 + 9a_4 a_5) g_{-6}^{a_1+1} g_{-5}^{a_2} g_{-4}^{a_3} g_{-3}^{a_4-2} g_{-1}^{a_5-2} \\
 & & - a_2 g_{-6}^{a_1} g_{-5}^{a_2-1} g_{-4}^{a_3+1} g_{-3}^{a_4} g_{-1}^{a_5} + (-3a_4 a_5^3 + 9a_4 a_5^2 - 6a_4 a_5) g_{-6}^{a_1} g_{-5}^{a_2+1} g_{-4}^{a_3} g_{-3}^{a_4-1} g_{-1}^{a_5-3} \\
 & & - 2a_3 g_{-6}^{a_1} g_{-5}^{a_2} g_{-4}^{a_3-1} g_{-3}^{a_4+1} g_{-1}^{a_5} + (3a_4 a_5^2 - 3a_4 a_5) g_{-6}^{a_1} g_{-5}^{a_2} g_{-4}^{a_3+1} g_{-3}^{a_4-1} g_{-1}^{a_5-2} \\
 & & + (-a_5^2 - 3a_4 a_5 + a_5) g_{-6}^{a_1} g_{-5}^{a_2} g_{-4}^{a_3} g_{-3}^{a_4} g_{-1}^{a_5-1} \\
g_{-1} u & = & (-3a_4^2 + 3a_4) g_{-6}^{a_1+1} g_{-5}^{a_2} g_{-4}^{a_3} g_{-3}^{a_4-2} g_{-1}^{a_5} - 3a_3 g_{-6}^{a_1} g_{-5}^{a_2+1} g_{-4}^{a_3-1} g_{-3}^{a_4} g_{-1}^{a_5} \\
 & & - 2a_4 g_{-6}^{a_1} g_{-5}^{a_2} g_{-4}^{a_3+1} g_{-3}^{a_4-1} g_{-1}^{a_5} + g_{-6}^{a_1} g_{-5}^{a_2} g_{-4}^{a_3} g_{-3}^{a_4} g_{-1}^{a_5+1} \\
g_2 u & = & g_{-6}^{a_1} g_{-5}^{a_2} g_{-4}^{a_3} g_{-3}^{a_4} g_{-1}^{a_5} g_2 - a_1 g_{-6}^{a_1-1} g_{-5}^{a_2+1} g_{-4}^{a_3} g_{-3}^{a_4} g_{-1}^{a_5} \\
 & & + (-2a_4^3 + 6a_4^2 - 4a_4) g_{-6}^{a_1+1} g_{-5}^{a_2} g_{-4}^{a_3} g_{-3}^{a_4-3} g_{-1}^{a_5} \\
 & & + (-a_4^2 + a_4) g_{-6}^{a_1} g_{-5}^{a_2} g_{-4}^{a_3+1} g_{-3}^{a_4-2} g_{-1}^{a_5} + a_4 g_{-6}^{a_1} g_{-5}^{a_2} g_{-4}^{a_3} g_{-3}^{a_4-1} g_{-1}^{a_5+1} \\
g_{-2} u & = & g_{-6}^{a_1} g_{-5}^{a_2} g_{-4}^{a_3} g_{-3}^{a_4} g_{-1}^{a_5} g_{-2} - a_2 g_{-6}^{a_1+1} g_{-5}^{a_2-1} g_{-4}^{a_3} g_{-3}^{a_4} g_{-1}^{a_5} \\
 & & + (-3a_4 a_5^2 + 3a_4 a_5) g_{-6}^{a_1+1} g_{-5}^{a_2} g_{-4}^{a_3} g_{-3}^{a_4-1} g_{-1}^{a_5-2} \\
 & & + (a_5^3 - 3a_5^2 + 2a_5) g_{-6}^{a_1} g_{-5}^{a_2+1} g_{-4}^{a_3} g_{-3}^{a_4} g_{-1}^{a_5-3} + (-a_5^2 + a_5) g_{-6}^{a_1} g_{-5}^{a_2} g_{-4}^{a_3+1} g_{-3}^{a_4} g_{-1}^{a_5-2} \\
 & & + a_5 g_{-6}^{a_1} g_{-5}^{a_2} g_{-4}^{a_3} g_{-3}^{a_4+1} g_{-1}^{a_5-1} \quad .
\end{array}$$

Applying the map φ_0 to the above expressions amounts to renaming the generators $g_{-6}, g_{-5}, g_{-4}, g_{-3}, g_{-1}$ to $x_1, \dots, x_5$, replacing the remaining generators by 0, and finally tensoring on the right with m_1. We recall from Lemma 3.5 that if one of the so obtained monomials is of the form $p(a_1, \dots, a_5) x_1^{a_1-b_1} \dots x_5^{a_5-b_5}$ and $b_i > 0$, then $p(a_1, \dots, a_5)$ is divisible by $a_i(a_i-1)\dots(a_i-b_i+1)$. Now we can apply (4) and (2) from Lemma 3.3 to get that

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\Phi_0(g_1) & = & (-3x_2\partial_4\partial_5^3 + 9x_1\partial_4^2\partial_5^2 + 3x_3\partial_4\partial_5^2 - x_5\partial_5^2 \\
 & & -3x_4\partial_4\partial_5 - 3x_1\partial_3^2 - 2x_4\partial_3 - x_3\partial_2) \otimes \mathrm{id} \\
\Phi_0(g_{-1}) & = & (-3x_1\partial_4^2 - 2x_3\partial_4 - 3x_2\partial_3 + x_5) \otimes \mathrm{id} \\
\Phi_0(g_2) & = & (-2x_1\partial_4^3 - x_3\partial_4^2 + x_5\partial_4 - x_2\partial_1) \otimes \mathrm{id} \\
\Phi_0(g_{-2}) & = & (x_2\partial_5^3 - 3x_1\partial_4\partial_5^2 - x_3\partial_5^2 + x_4\partial_5 - x_1\partial_2) \otimes \mathrm{id} \quad .
\end{array}$$

Table 1. Elements of $\mathbb{W}_n$ generating the exceptional Lie algebras. Each entry of the table is a simple Chevalley-Weyl generator, given in the order $g_1, g_{-1}, g_2, g_{-2}, \ldots$. Here, $g_{\pm i}$ stands for the Chevalley-Weyl generator of the simple root whose i^{th} coordinate is non-zero. The simple roots are ordered in the same order as the one implied by [3, page 59]. For G_2 and F_4 there are two entries as G_2 and F_4 each have two non-isomorphic parabolic subalgebras of maximal dimension.

$\mathfrak{g}$	n	element of $\mathbb{W}_n$
G_2	5	$(-3x_2\partial_4\partial_5^3 + 9x_1\partial_4^2\partial_5^2 + 3x_3\partial_4\partial_5^2 - x_5\partial_5^2 - 3x_4\partial_4\partial_5 - 3x_1\partial_3^2 - 2x_4\partial_3 - x_3\partial_2) \otimes id$
		$(-3x_1\partial_4^2 - 2x_3\partial_4 - 3x_2\partial_3 + x_5) \otimes id$
		$(-2x_1\partial_4^3 - x_3\partial_4^2 + x_5\partial_4 - x_2\partial_1) \otimes id$
		$(x_2\partial_5^3 - 3x_1\partial_4\partial_5^2 - x_3\partial_5^2 + x_4\partial_5 - x_1\partial_2) \otimes id$
G_2	5	$(-3x_5\partial_4 - 3x_1\partial_3^2 - 2x_4\partial_3 - x_3\partial_2) \otimes id$
		$(-x_4\partial_5 - 3x_1\partial_4^2 - 2x_3\partial_4 - 3x_2\partial_3) \otimes id$
		$(-x_5\partial_5^2 - x_4\partial_4\partial_5 - 2x_1\partial_4^3 - x_3\partial_4^2 - x_2\partial_1) \otimes id$
		$(-x_1\partial_2 + x_5) \otimes id$
F_4	15	$(-x_{15}\partial_{15}^2 - x_{14}\partial_{14}\partial_{15} - x_{13}\partial_{13}\partial_{15} - x_{12}\partial_{12}\partial_{15} - x_{11}\partial_{11}\partial_{15} + x_1\partial_7\partial_{10}\partial_{15} - x_9\partial_9\partial_{15} - x_7\partial_7\partial_{15} + x_1\partial_7\partial_{12}\partial_{14}$ $+x_{10}\partial_{12}\partial_{14} + 2x_1\partial_9^2\partial_{14} + x_8\partial_9\partial_{14} + x_5\partial_7\partial_{14} + x_1\partial_7\partial_{13}^2 + x_{10}\partial_{13}^2 + 4x_1\partial_9\partial_{11}\partial_{13} + x_8\partial_{11}\partial_{13} - x_6\partial_9\partial_{13} - x_4\partial_7\partial_{13}$ $-2x_1\partial_{11}^2\partial_{12} + x_6\partial_{11}\partial_{12} - x_3\partial_7\partial_{12} - x_5\partial_{11}^2 - x_4\partial_9\partial_{11} - x_2\partial_7\partial_{10} - x_3\partial_9^2 - x_2\partial_1) \otimes id$
		$(-x_1\partial_2 + x_{15}) \otimes id$
		$(x_{15}\partial_{14} - x_{12}\partial_{10} - x_1\partial_8^2 - x_9\partial_8 - x_7\partial_5 - x_3\partial_2) \otimes id$
		$(x_{14}\partial_{15} - x_{10}\partial_{12} - x_1\partial_9^2 - x_8\partial_9 - x_5\partial_7 - x_2\partial_3) \otimes id$
		$(2x_{14}\partial_{13} - x_{13}\partial_{12} - x_{11}\partial_9 - x_8\partial_6 - 2x_5\partial_4 - x_4\partial_3) \otimes id$
		$(x_{13}\partial_{14} - 2x_{12}\partial_{13} - x_9\partial_{11} - x_6\partial_8 - x_4\partial_5 - 2x_3\partial_4) \otimes id$
		$(x_{13}\partial_{11} + 2x_{12}\partial_9 - 2x_1\partial_7\partial_8 + 2x_{10}\partial_8 - x_9\partial_7 - x_8\partial_5 - x_6\partial_4) \otimes id$
		$(x_{11}\partial_{13} + x_9\partial_{12} + 2x_1\partial_9\partial_{10} + x_8\partial_{10} - 2x_7\partial_9 - 2x_5\partial_8 - x_4\partial_6) \otimes id$
F_4	15	$(-x_5\partial_{12}^2 - x_{13}\partial_{12} - x_3\partial_{10}^2 - x_{11}\partial_{10} - x_7\partial_6 - x_2\partial_1) \otimes id$
		$(-x_5\partial_{13}^2 - x_{12}\partial_{13} - x_3\partial_{11}^2 - x_{10}\partial_{11} - x_6\partial_7 - x_1\partial_2) \otimes id$
		$(-x_7\partial_{13}^2 - x_{14}\partial_{13} - x_1\partial_9^2 - x_{10}\partial_9 - x_6\partial_5 - x_3\partial_2) \otimes id$
		$(-x_7\partial_{14}^2 - x_{13}\partial_{14} - x_1\partial_{10}^2 - x_9\partial_{10} - x_5\partial_6 - x_2\partial_3) \otimes id$

$\mathfrak{g}$	n	element of $\mathbb{W}_n$
		$(-x_{15}\partial_{14} - 2x_5\partial_{11}\partial_{12} + x_4\partial_{10}\partial_{11} - x_{13}\partial_{11} - x_{12}\partial_{10} - x_9\partial_8 - 2x_5\partial_4 - x_4\partial_3) \otimes id$
		$(-x_{14}\partial_{15} + x_4\partial_{12}\partial_{13} - x_{11}\partial_{13} - 2x_3\partial_{11}\partial_{12} - x_{10}\partial_{12} - x_8\partial_9 - x_4\partial_5 - 2x_3\partial_4) \otimes id$
		$(2x_7\partial_{11}\partial_{15}^2 + 2x_6\partial_{10}\partial_{15}^2 + 2x_5\partial_9\partial_{15}^2 - x_{15}\partial_{15}^2 - 2x_7\partial_{13}\partial_{14}\partial_{15} - 2x_6\partial_{12}\partial_{14}\partial_{15} + 2x_4\partial_9\partial_{14}\partial_{15} - x_{14}\partial_{14}\partial_{15}$ $-2x_5\partial_{12}\partial_{13}\partial_{15} - 2x_4\partial_{10}\partial_{13}\partial_{15} - x_{13}\partial_{13}\partial_{15} + 2x_4\partial_{11}\partial_{12}\partial_{15} - x_{12}\partial_{12}\partial_{15} - 4x_3\partial_{10}\partial_{11}\partial_{15} - 4x_2\partial_9\partial_{11}\partial_{15} - 2x_{11}\partial_{11}\partial_{15}$ $-4x_1\partial_9\partial_{10}\partial_{15} - 2x_{10}\partial_{10}\partial_{15} - 2x_9\partial_9\partial_{15} + 2x_3\partial_9\partial_{14}^2 + 4x_2\partial_9\partial_{13}\partial_{14} + x_{11}\partial_{13}\partial_{14} + 2x_3\partial_{11}\partial_{12}\partial_{14} + 4x_1\partial_9\partial_{12}\partial_{14}$ $+x_{10}\partial_{12}\partial_{14} - 2x_8\partial_9\partial_{14} - 2x_2\partial_{10}\partial_{13}^2 + 2x_2\partial_{11}\partial_{12}\partial_{13} - 2x_1\partial_{10}\partial_{12}\partial_{13} + x_9\partial_{12}\partial_{13} + 2x_8\partial_{10}\partial_{13} + 2x_1\partial_{11}\partial_{12}^2 - 2x_8\partial_{11}\partial_{12}$ $-2x_3\partial_7\partial_{10} - 2x_2\partial_7\partial_9 - 2x_1\partial_6\partial_9 - x_{11}\partial_7 - x_{10}\partial_6 - x_9\partial_5 - x_8\partial_4) \otimes id$
		$(-2x_7\partial_{11} - 2x_6\partial_{10} - 2x_5\partial_9 - x_4\partial_8 + x_{15}) \otimes id$
E_6	16	$(-x_{16}\partial_{16}^2 - x_{15}\partial_{15}\partial_{16} - x_{14}\partial_{14}\partial_{16} - x_{13}\partial_{13}\partial_{16} - x_{12}\partial_{12}\partial_{16} - x_{11}\partial_{11}\partial_{16} - x_{10}\partial_{10}\partial_{16} - x_9\partial_9\partial_{16} - x_8\partial_8\partial_{16} - x_6\partial_6\partial_{16}$ $-x_4\partial_4\partial_{16} + x_7\partial_9\partial_{15} + x_5\partial_6\partial_{15} + x_3\partial_4\partial_{15} + x_7\partial_{11}\partial_{14} + x_5\partial_8\partial_{14} - x_2\partial_4\partial_{14} - x_7\partial_{12}\partial_{13} - x_5\partial_{10}\partial_{13} - x_1\partial_4\partial_{13}$ $-x_3\partial_8\partial_{12} - x_2\partial_6\partial_{12} + x_3\partial_{10}\partial_{11} - x_1\partial_6\partial_{11} + x_2\partial_9\partial_{10} + x_1\partial_8\partial_9) \otimes id$
		$x_{16} \otimes id$
		$(-x_{14}\partial_{13} - x_{12}\partial_{11} - x_{10}\partial_8 - x_2\partial_1) \otimes id$
		$(-x_{13}\partial_{14} - x_{11}\partial_{12} - x_8\partial_{10} - x_1\partial_2) \otimes id$
		$(x_{16}\partial_{15} - x_9\partial_7 - x_6\partial_5 - x_4\partial_3) \otimes id$
		$(x_{15}\partial_{16} - x_7\partial_9 - x_5\partial_6 - x_3\partial_4) \otimes id$
		$(x_{15}\partial_{14} - x_{11}\partial_9 - x_8\partial_6 - x_3\partial_2) \otimes id$
		$(x_{14}\partial_{15} - x_9\partial_{11} - x_6\partial_8 - x_2\partial_3) \otimes id$
		$(x_{14}\partial_{12} + x_{13}\partial_{11} - x_6\partial_4 - x_5\partial_3) \otimes id$
		$(x_{12}\partial_{14} + x_{11}\partial_{13} - x_4\partial_6 - x_3\partial_5) \otimes id$
		$(x_{12}\partial_{10} + x_{11}\partial_8 + x_9\partial_6 + x_7\partial_5) \otimes id$
		$(x_{10}\partial_{12} + x_8\partial_{11} + x_6\partial_9 + x_5\partial_7) \otimes id$
E_7	27	$(-x_{22}\partial_{21} - x_{20}\partial_{19} - x_{18}\partial_{17} - x_{16}\partial_{14} - x_{13}\partial_{11} - x_2\partial_1) \otimes id$
		$(-x_{21}\partial_{22} - x_{19}\partial_{20} - x_{17}\partial_{18} - x_{14}\partial_{16} - x_{11}\partial_{13} - x_1\partial_2) \otimes id$
		$(-x_{24}\partial_{23} - x_{22}\partial_{20} - x_{21}\partial_{19} - x_{10}\partial_8 - x_7\partial_6 - x_5\partial_4) \otimes id$
		$(-x_{23}\partial_{24} - x_{20}\partial_{22} - x_{19}\partial_{21} - x_8\partial_{10} - x_6\partial_7 - x_4\partial_5) \otimes id$
		$(-x_{24}\partial_{22} - x_{23}\partial_{20} - x_{17}\partial_{15} - x_{14}\partial_{12} - x_{11}\partial_9 - x_3\partial_2) \otimes id$

$\mathfrak{g}$	n	element of $\mathbb{W}_n$
		$(-x_{22}\partial_{24} - x_{20}\partial_{23} - x_{15}\partial_{17} - x_{12}\partial_{14} - x_9\partial_{11} - x_2\partial_3) \otimes id$
		$(-x_{25}\partial_{24} - x_{20}\partial_{18} - x_{19}\partial_{17} - x_{12}\partial_{10} - x_9\partial_7 - x_4\partial_3) \otimes id$
		$(-x_{24}\partial_{25} - x_{18}\partial_{20} - x_{17}\partial_{19} - x_{10}\partial_{12} - x_7\partial_9 - x_3\partial_4) \otimes id$
		$(-x_{26}\partial_{25} - x_{18}\partial_{16} - x_{17}\partial_{14} - x_{15}\partial_{12} - x_7\partial_5 - x_6\partial_4) \otimes id$
		$(-x_{25}\partial_{26} - x_{16}\partial_{18} - x_{14}\partial_{17} - x_{12}\partial_{15} - x_5\partial_7 - x_4\partial_6) \otimes id$
		$(-x_{27}\partial_{26} - x_{16}\partial_{13} - x_{14}\partial_{11} - x_{12}\partial_9 - x_{10}\partial_7 - x_8\partial_6) \otimes id$
		$(-x_{26}\partial_{27} - x_{13}\partial_{16} - x_{11}\partial_{14} - x_9\partial_{12} - x_7\partial_{10} - x_6\partial_8) \otimes id$
		$(-x_{27}\partial_{27}^2 - x_{26}\partial_{26}\partial_{27} - x_{25}\partial_{25}\partial_{27} - x_{24}\partial_{24}\partial_{27} - x_{23}\partial_{23}\partial_{27} - x_{22}\partial_{22}\partial_{27} - x_{21}\partial_{21}\partial_{27} - x_{20}\partial_{20}\partial_{27} - x_{19}\partial_{19}\partial_{27} - x_{18}\partial_{18}\partial_{27}$ $-x_{17}\partial_{17}\partial_{27} - x_{16}\partial_{16}\partial_{27} - x_{15}\partial_{15}\partial_{27} - x_{14}\partial_{14}\partial_{27} - x_{12}\partial_{12}\partial_{27} - x_{10}\partial_{10}\partial_{27} - x_8\partial_8\partial_{27} - x_{13}\partial_{16}\partial_{26} - x_{11}\partial_{14}\partial_{26} - x_9\partial_{12}\partial_{26}$ $-x_7\partial_{10}\partial_{26} - x_6\partial_8\partial_{26} + x_{13}\partial_{18}\partial_{25} + x_{11}\partial_{17}\partial_{25} + x_9\partial_{15}\partial_{25} - x_5\partial_{10}\partial_{25} - x_4\partial_8\partial_{25} - x_{13}\partial_{20}\partial_{24} - x_{11}\partial_{19}\partial_{24} + x_7\partial_{15}\partial_{24}$ $+x_5\partial_{12}\partial_{24} - x_3\partial_8\partial_{24} + x_{13}\partial_{22}\partial_{23} + x_{11}\partial_{21}\partial_{23} + x_6\partial_{15}\partial_{23} + x_4\partial_{12}\partial_{23} + x_3\partial_{10}\partial_{23} - x_9\partial_{19}\partial_{22} - x_7\partial_{17}\partial_{22} - x_5\partial_{14}\partial_{22}$ $-x_2\partial_8\partial_{22} + x_9\partial_{20}\partial_{21} + x_7\partial_{18}\partial_{21} + x_5\partial_{16}\partial_{21} - x_1\partial_8\partial_{21} - x_6\partial_{17}\partial_{20} - x_4\partial_{14}\partial_{20} + x_2\partial_{10}\partial_{20} + x_6\partial_{18}\partial_{19} + x_4\partial_{16}\partial_{19}$ $+x_1\partial_{10}\partial_{19} - x_3\partial_{14}\partial_{18} - x_2\partial_{12}\partial_{18} + x_3\partial_{16}\partial_{17} - x_1\partial_{12}\partial_{17} + x_2\partial_{15}\partial_{16} + x_1\partial_{14}\partial_{15}) \otimes id$
		$x_{27} \otimes id$
E_8	57	$(-x_{51}\partial_{50} - x_{49}\partial_{48} - x_{47}\partial_{46} - x_{45}\partial_{43} - x_{42}\partial_{40} - x_{39}\partial_{36} - x_{23}\partial_{20} - x_{19}\partial_{17} - x_{16}\partial_{14} - x_{13}\partial_{12} - x_{11}\partial_{10} - x_9\partial_8) \otimes id$
		$(-x_{50}\partial_{51} - x_{48}\partial_{49} - x_{46}\partial_{47} - x_{43}\partial_{45} - x_{40}\partial_{42} - x_{36}\partial_{39} - x_{20}\partial_{23} - x_{17}\partial_{19} - x_{14}\partial_{16} - x_{12}\partial_{13} - x_{10}\partial_{11} - x_8\partial_9) \otimes id$
		$(-x_{53}\partial_{52} - x_{51}\partial_{49} - x_{50}\partial_{48} - x_{38}\partial_{35} - x_{34}\partial_{32} - x_1\partial_{28}\partial_{29} - x_{31}\partial_{29} - x_{30}\partial_{28} - x_{27}\partial_{25} - x_{24}\partial_{21} - x_{11}\partial_9 - x_{10}\partial_8 - x_7\partial_6) \otimes id$
		$(-x_{52}\partial_{53} - x_{49}\partial_{51} - x_{48}\partial_{50} - x_{35}\partial_{38} - x_{32}\partial_{34} - x_1\partial_{30}\partial_{31} - x_{29}\partial_{31} - x_{28}\partial_{30} - x_{25}\partial_{27} - x_{21}\partial_{24} - x_9\partial_{11} - x_8\partial_{10} - x_6\partial_7) \otimes id$
		$(-x_{53}\partial_{51} - x_{52}\partial_{49} - x_{46}\partial_{44} - x_{43}\partial_{41} - x_{40}\partial_{37} - x_{36}\partial_{33} - x_{26}\partial_{23} - x_{22}\partial_{19} - x_{18}\partial_{16} - x_{15}\partial_{13} - x_{10}\partial_7 - x_8\partial_6) \otimes id$
		$(-x_{51}\partial_{53} - x_{49}\partial_{52} - x_{44}\partial_{46} - x_{41}\partial_{43} - x_{37}\partial_{40} - x_{33}\partial_{36} - x_{23}\partial_{26} - x_{19}\partial_{22} - x_{16}\partial_{18} - x_{13}\partial_{15} - x_7\partial_{10} - x_6\partial_8) \otimes id$
		$(-x_{54}\partial_{53} - x_{49}\partial_{47} - x_{48}\partial_{46} - x_{41}\partial_{38} - x_{37}\partial_{34} - x_{33}\partial_{30} - x_{29}\partial_{26} - x_{25}\partial_{22} - x_{21}\partial_{18} - x_{13}\partial_{11} - x_{12}\partial_{10} - x_6\partial_5) \otimes id$
		$(-x_{53}\partial_{54} - x_{47}\partial_{49} - x_{46}\partial_{48} - x_{38}\partial_{41} - x_{34}\partial_{37} - x_{30}\partial_{33} - x_{26}\partial_{29} - x_{22}\partial_{25} - x_{18}\partial_{21} - x_{11}\partial_{13} - x_{10}\partial_{12} - x_5\partial_6) \otimes id$
		$(-x_{55}\partial_{54} - x_{47}\partial_{45} - x_{46}\partial_{43} - x_{44}\partial_{41} - x_{34}\partial_{31} - x_1\partial_{27}\partial_{29} - x_{32}\partial_{29} - x_{30}\partial_{27} - x_{28}\partial_{25} - x_{18}\partial_{15} - x_{16}\partial_{13} - x_{14}\partial_{12} - x_5\partial_4) \otimes id$
		$(-x_{54}\partial_{55} - x_{45}\partial_{47} - x_{43}\partial_{46} - x_{41}\partial_{44} - x_{31}\partial_{34} - x_1\partial_{30}\partial_{32} - x_{29}\partial_{32} - x_{27}\partial_{30} - x_{25}\partial_{28} - x_{15}\partial_{18} - x_{13}\partial_{16} - x_{12}\partial_{14} - x_4\partial_5) \otimes id$
		$(-x_{56}\partial_{55} - x_{45}\partial_{42} - x_{43}\partial_{40} - x_{41}\partial_{37} - x_{38}\partial_{34} - x_{35}\partial_{32} - x_{27}\partial_{24} - x_{25}\partial_{21} - x_{22}\partial_{18} - x_{19}\partial_{16} - x_{17}\partial_{14} - x_4\partial_3) \otimes id$
		$(-x_{55}\partial_{56} - x_{42}\partial_{45} - x_{40}\partial_{43} - x_{37}\partial_{41} - x_{34}\partial_{38} - x_{32}\partial_{35} - x_{24}\partial_{27} - x_{21}\partial_{25} - x_{18}\partial_{22} - x_{16}\partial_{19} - x_{14}\partial_{17} - x_3\partial_4) \otimes id$
		$(-x_{57}\partial_{56} - x_{42}\partial_{39} - x_{40}\partial_{36} - x_{37}\partial_{33} - x_{34}\partial_{30} - x_1\partial_{27}\partial_{28} - x_{32}\partial_{28} - x_{31}\partial_{27} - x_{29}\partial_{25} - x_{26}\partial_{22} - x_{23}\partial_{19} - x_{20}\partial_{17} - x_3\partial_2) \otimes id$

$\mathfrak{g}$	n	element of $\mathbb{W}_n$
		$(-x_{56}\partial_{57} - x_{39}\partial_{42} - x_{36}\partial_{40} - x_{33}\partial_{37} - x_{30}\partial_{34} - x_1\partial_{31}\partial_{32} - x_{28}\partial_{32} - x_{27}\partial_{31} - x_{25}\partial_{29} - x_{22}\partial_{26} - x_{19}\partial_{23} - x_{17}\partial_{20} - x_2\partial_3) \otimes id$
		$(-x_{57}\partial_{57}^2 - x_{56}\partial_{56}\partial_{57} - x_{55}\partial_{55}\partial_{57} - x_{54}\partial_{54}\partial_{57} - x_{53}\partial_{53}\partial_{57} - x_{52}\partial_{52}\partial_{57} - x_{51}\partial_{51}\partial_{57} - x_{50}\partial_{50}\partial_{57} - x_{49}\partial_{49}\partial_{57} - x_{48}\partial_{48}\partial_{57} - x_{47}\partial_{47}\partial_{57} - x_{46}\partial_{46}\partial_{57} - x_{45}\partial_{45}\partial_{57} - x_{44}\partial_{44}\partial_{57} - x_{43}\partial_{43}\partial_{57} - x_{42}\partial_{42}\partial_{57} - x_{41}\partial_{41}\partial_{57} - x_{40}\partial_{40}\partial_{57} + x_1\partial_{20}\partial_{39}\partial_{57} - x_{38}\partial_{38}\partial_{57} - x_{37}\partial_{37}\partial_{57} - x_1\partial_{23}\partial_{36}\partial_{57} - x_{35}\partial_{35}\partial_{57} - x_{34}\partial_{34}\partial_{57} + x_1\partial_{26}\partial_{33}\partial_{57} - x_{32}\partial_{32}\partial_{57} - x_{31}\partial_{31}\partial_{57} - x_1\partial_{29}\partial_{30}\partial_{57} - x_{29}\partial_{29}\partial_{57} - x_{26}\partial_{26}\partial_{57} - x_{23}\partial_{23}\partial_{57} - x_{20}\partial_{20}\partial_{57} - x_1\partial_{20}\partial_{42}\partial_{56} - x_{39}\partial_{42}\partial_{56} + x_1\partial_{23}\partial_{40}\partial_{56} - x_{36}\partial_{40}\partial_{56} - x_1\partial_{26}\partial_{37}\partial_{56} - x_{33}\partial_{37}\partial_{56} + x_1\partial_{29}\partial_{34}\partial_{56} - x_{30}\partial_{34}\partial_{56} - 2x_1\partial_{31}\partial_{32}\partial_{56} - x_{28}\partial_{32}\partial_{56} - x_{27}\partial_{31}\partial_{56} - x_{25}\partial_{29}\partial_{56} - x_{22}\partial_{26}\partial_{56} - x_{19}\partial_{23}\partial_{56} - x_{17}\partial_{20}\partial_{56} + x_1\partial_{20}\partial_{45}\partial_{55} + x_{39}\partial_{45}\partial_{55} - x_1\partial_{23}\partial_{43}\partial_{55} + x_{36}\partial_{43}\partial_{55} + x_1\partial_{26}\partial_{41}\partial_{55} + x_{33}\partial_{41}\partial_{55} - x_1\partial_{29}\partial_{38}\partial_{55} + x_{30}\partial_{38}\partial_{55} + 2x_1\partial_{31}\partial_{35}\partial_{55} + x_{28}\partial_{35}\partial_{55} - x_{24}\partial_{31}\partial_{55} - x_{21}\partial_{29}\partial_{55} - x_{18}\partial_{26}\partial_{55} - x_{16}\partial_{23}\partial_{55} - x_{14}\partial_{20}\partial_{55} - x_1\partial_{20}\partial_{47}\partial_{54} - x_{39}\partial_{47}\partial_{54} + x_1\partial_{23}\partial_{46}\partial_{54} - x_{36}\partial_{46}\partial_{54} - x_1\partial_{26}\partial_{44}\partial_{54} - x_{33}\partial_{44}\partial_{54} + 2x_1\partial_{32}\partial_{38}\partial_{54} + x_{27}\partial_{38}\partial_{54} - 2x_1\partial_{34}\partial_{35}\partial_{54} + x_{25}\partial_{35}\partial_{54} + x_{24}\partial_{34}\partial_{54} + x_{21}\partial_{32}\partial_{54} - x_{15}\partial_{26}\partial_{54} - x_{13}\partial_{23}\partial_{54} - x_{12}\partial_{20}\partial_{54} + x_1\partial_{20}\partial_{49}\partial_{53} + x_{39}\partial_{49}\partial_{53} - x_1\partial_{23}\partial_{48}\partial_{53} + x_{36}\partial_{48}\partial_{53} + x_1\partial_{29}\partial_{44}\partial_{53} - x_{30}\partial_{44}\partial_{53} - 2x_1\partial_{32}\partial_{41}\partial_{53} - x_{27}\partial_{41}\partial_{53} + 2x_1\partial_{35}\partial_{37}\partial_{53} - x_{24}\partial_{37}\partial_{53} + x_{22}\partial_{35}\partial_{53} + x_{18}\partial_{32}\partial_{53} + x_{15}\partial_{29}\partial_{53} - x_{11}\partial_{23}\partial_{53} - x_{10}\partial_{20}\partial_{53} - x_1\partial_{20}\partial_{51}\partial_{52} - x_{39}\partial_{51}\partial_{52} + x_1\partial_{23}\partial_{50}\partial_{52} - x_{36}\partial_{50}\partial_{52} - 2x_1\partial_{31}\partial_{44}\partial_{52} - x_{28}\partial_{44}\partial_{52} + 2x_1\partial_{34}\partial_{41}\partial_{52} - x_{25}\partial_{41}\partial_{52} - 2x_1\partial_{37}\partial_{38}\partial_{52} - x_{22}\partial_{38}\partial_{52} - x_{21}\partial_{37}\partial_{52} - x_{18}\partial_{34}\partial_{52} - x_{15}\partial_{31}\partial_{52} - x_9\partial_{23}\partial_{52} - x_8\partial_{20}\partial_{52} + x_1\partial_{26}\partial_{48}\partial_{51} + x_{33}\partial_{48}\partial_{51} - x_1\partial_{29}\partial_{46}\partial_{51} + x_{30}\partial_{46}\partial_{51} + 2x_1\partial_{32}\partial_{43}\partial_{51} + x_{27}\partial_{43}\partial_{51} - 2x_1\partial_{35}\partial_{40}\partial_{51} + x_{24}\partial_{40}\partial_{51} + x_{19}\partial_{35}\partial_{51} + x_{16}\partial_{32}\partial_{51} + x_{13}\partial_{29}\partial_{51} + x_{11}\partial_{26}\partial_{51} - x_7\partial_{20}\partial_{51} - x_1\partial_{26}\partial_{49}\partial_{50} - x_{33}\partial_{49}\partial_{50} + x_1\partial_{29}\partial_{47}\partial_{50} - x_{30}\partial_{47}\partial_{50} - 2x_1\partial_{32}\partial_{45}\partial_{50} - x_{27}\partial_{45}\partial_{50} + 2x_1\partial_{35}\partial_{42}\partial_{50} - x_{24}\partial_{42}\partial_{50} + x_{17}\partial_{35}\partial_{50} + x_{14}\partial_{32}\partial_{50} + x_{12}\partial_{29}\partial_{50} + x_{10}\partial_{26}\partial_{50} + x_7\partial_{23}\partial_{50} + 2x_1\partial_{31}\partial_{46}\partial_{49} + x_{28}\partial_{46}\partial_{49} - 2x_1\partial_{34}\partial_{43}\partial_{49} + x_{25}\partial_{43}\partial_{49} + 2x_1\partial_{38}\partial_{40}\partial_{49} + x_{21}\partial_{40}\partial_{49} - x_{19}\partial_{38}\partial_{49} - x_{16}\partial_{34}\partial_{49} - x_{13}\partial_{31}\partial_{49} + x_9\partial_{26}\partial_{49} - x_6\partial_{20}\partial_{49} - 2x_1\partial_{31}\partial_{47}\partial_{48} - x_{28}\partial_{47}\partial_{48} + 2x_1\partial_{34}\partial_{45}\partial_{48} - x_{25}\partial_{45}\partial_{48} - 2x_1\partial_{38}\partial_{42}\partial_{48} - x_{21}\partial_{42}\partial_{48} - x_{17}\partial_{38}\partial_{48} - x_{14}\partial_{34}\partial_{48} - x_{12}\partial_{31}\partial_{48} + x_8\partial_{26}\partial_{48} + x_6\partial_{23}\partial_{48} + 2x_1\partial_{37}\partial_{43}\partial_{47} + x_{22}\partial_{43}\partial_{47} - 2x_1\partial_{40}\partial_{41}\partial_{47} + x_{19}\partial_{41}\partial_{47} + x_{18}\partial_{40}\partial_{47} + x_{16}\partial_{37}\partial_{47} - x_{11}\partial_{31}\partial_{47} - x_9\partial_{29}\partial_{47} - x_5\partial_{20}\partial_{47} - 2x_1\partial_{37}\partial_{45}\partial_{46} - x_{22}\partial_{45}\partial_{46} + 2x_1\partial_{41}\partial_{42}\partial_{46} - x_{18}\partial_{42}\partial_{46} + x_{17}\partial_{41}\partial_{46} + x_{14}\partial_{37}\partial_{46} - x_{10}\partial_{31}\partial_{46} - x_8\partial_{29}\partial_{46} + x_5\partial_{23}\partial_{46} + 2x_1\partial_{40}\partial_{44}\partial_{45} - x_{19}\partial_{44}\partial_{45} + x_{15}\partial_{40}\partial_{45} + x_{13}\partial_{37}\partial_{45} + x_{11}\partial_{34}\partial_{45} + x_9\partial_{32}\partial_{45} - x_4\partial_{20}\partial_{45} - 2x_1\partial_{42}\partial_{43}\partial_{44} - x_{17}\partial_{43}\partial_{44} - x_{16}\partial_{42}\partial_{44} - x_{14}\partial_{40}\partial_{44} - x_7\partial_{31}\partial_{44} - x_6\partial_{29}\partial_{44} - x_5\partial_{26}\partial_{44} - x_{15}\partial_{42}\partial_{43} + x_{12}\partial_{37}\partial_{43} + x_{10}\partial_{34}\partial_{43} + x_8\partial_{32}\partial_{43} + x_4\partial_{23}\partial_{43} - x_{13}\partial_{41}\partial_{42} - x_{11}\partial_{38}\partial_{42} - x_9\partial_{35}\partial_{42} - x_3\partial_{20}\partial_{42} - x_{12}\partial_{40}\partial_{41} + x_7\partial_{34}\partial_{41} + x_6\partial_{32}\partial_{41} - x_4\partial_{26}\partial_{41} - x_{10}\partial_{38}\partial_{40} - x_8\partial_{35}\partial_{40} + x_3\partial_{23}\partial_{40} - x_2\partial_{20}\partial_{39} - x_7\partial_{37}\partial_{38} + x_5\partial_{32}\partial_{38} + x_4\partial_{29}\partial_{38} - x_6\partial_{35}\partial_{37} - x_3\partial_{26}\partial_{37} + x_2\partial_{23}\partial_{36} - x_5\partial_{34}\partial_{35} - x_4\partial_{31}\partial_{35} + x_3\partial_{29}\partial_{34} - x_2\partial_{26}\partial_{33} - x_3\partial_{31}\partial_{32} + x_2\partial_{29}\partial_{30} - x_2\partial_1) \otimes id$
		$(-x_1\partial_2 + x_{57}) \otimes id$

Table 2: The Lie bracket pairing table of G_2. Generators are indexed according to root spaces, and root spaces are indexed according to graded lexicographic order. The first simple root is short.

roots	$\varepsilon -$ notation	$[\bullet,\bullet]$	g_{-6}	g_{-5}	g_{-4}	g_{-3}	g_{-2}	g_{-1}	h_1	h_2	g_1	g_2	g_3	g_4	g_5	g_6
$(-3,-2)$	$\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2-2\varepsilon_3$	g_{-6}	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$3g_{-6}$	0	g_{-5}	$-g_{-4}$	g_{-3}	$-g_{-2}$	$-h_1-2/3h_2$
$(-3,-1)$	$-\varepsilon_1+2\varepsilon_2-\varepsilon_3$	g_{-5}	0	0	0	0	g_{-6}	0	$3g_{-5}$	$-3g_{-5}$	g_{-4}	0	0	$-g_{-1}$	$-h_1-1/3h_2$	$-g_2$
$(-2,-1)$	$\varepsilon_2-\varepsilon_3$	g_{-4}	0	0	0	$-3g_{-6}$	0	$3g_{-5}$	g_{-4}	0	$2g_{-3}$	0	$-2g_{-1}$	$-2h_1-h_2$	$-g_1$	g_3
$(-1,-1)$	$\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_3$	g_{-3}	0	0	$3g_{-6}$	0	0	$2g_{-4}$	$-g_{-3}$	$3g_{-3}$	$3g_{-2}$	$-g_{-1}$	$-h_1-h_2$	$-2g_1$	0	$-g_4$
$(0,-1)$	$2\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2-\varepsilon_3$	g_{-2}	0	$-g_{-6}$	0	0	0	g_{-3}	$-3g_{-2}$	$6g_{-2}$	0	$-1/3h_2$	$-g_1$	0	0	g_5
$(-1,0)$	$-\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2$	g_{-1}	0	0	$-3g_{-5}$	$-2g_{-4}$	$-g_{-3}$	0	$2g_{-1}$	$-3g_{-1}$	$-h_1$	0	$3g_2$	$2g_3$	g_4	0
$(0,0)$	0	h_1	0	$-3g_{-5}$	$-g_{-4}$	g_{-3}	$3g_{-2}$	$-2g_{-1}$	0	0	$2g_1$	$-3g_2$	$-g_3$	g_4	$3g_5$	0
$(0,0)$	0	h_2	$-3g_{-6}$	$3g_{-5}$	0	$-3g_{-3}$	$-6g_{-2}$	$3g_{-1}$	0	0	$-3g_1$	$6g_2$	$3g_3$	0	$-3g_5$	$3g_6$
$(1,0)$	$\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2$	g_1	0	$-g_{-4}$	$-2g_{-3}$	$-3g_{-2}$	0	h_1	$-2g_1$	$3g_1$	0	g_3	$2g_4$	$3g_5$	0	0
$(0,1)$	$-2\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2+\varepsilon_3$	g_2	$-g_{-5}$	0	0	g_{-1}	$1/3h_2$	0	$3g_2$	$-6g_2$	$-g_3$	0	0	0	g_6	0
$(1,1)$	$-\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_3$	g_3	g_{-4}	0	$2g_{-1}$	h_1+h_2	g_1	$-3g_2$	g_3	$-3g_3$	$-2g_4$	0	0	$-3g_6$	0	0
$(2,1)$	$-\varepsilon_2+\varepsilon_3$	g_4	$-g_{-3}$	g_{-1}	$2h_1+h_2$	$2g_1$	0	$-2g_3$	$-g_4$	0	$-3g_5$	0	$3g_6$	0	0	0
$(3,1)$	$\varepsilon_1-2\varepsilon_2+\varepsilon_3$	g_5	g_{-2}	$h_1+1/3h_2$	g_1	0	0	$-g_4$	$-3g_5$	$3g_5$	0	$-g_6$	0	0	0	0
$(3,2)$	$-\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2+2\varepsilon_3$	g_6	$h_1+2/3h_2$	g_2	$-g_3$	g_4	$-g_5$	0	0	$-3g_6$	0	0	0	0	0	0

References

[1] W. de Graaf. *Lie algebras: theory and algorithms.* Elsevier, 2000.

[2] J. Dixmier. *Algebres Enveloppantes.* Gauthier-Villars Editeur, Paris-Bruxelles-Montreal, 1974.

[3] J. Humphreys. *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory.* Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972.

[4] S. Jackson and T. Milev. Computing irreducible finite dimensional complex Lie algebra representations, in preparation.

[5] A. Joseph. Minimal realizations and spectrum generating algebras. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 36:325–338, 1974.

[6] A. Joseph. A minimal orbit in a simple Lie algebra and its associated maximal ideal. *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.*, 9:1–29, 1976.

[7] P. Littelmann. Paths and root operators in representation theory. *Annals of Mathematics*, 142:499–525, 1995.

[8] P. Littelmann. Cones, crystals, and patterns. *Transf. Groups*, 3:145–179, 1998.

[9] T. Milev. Vector partition function project, `http://sourceforge.net/projects/vectorpartition/`, October 2012. Source code, revision 1119, `http://sourceforge.net/p/vectorpartition/code/1119/tree/`. 2009-2012.

[10] T. Milev and P. Somberg. The branching problem for generalized Verma modules, with application to the pair $(so(7), LieG_2)$, extended version with tables, arxiv: `http://arxiv.org/abs/1209.3970v2`.

[11] H. Samelson. *Notes on Lie algebras.* Springer, 2nd edition, 1990.